APPENDIX 1

Audit Strategy Memorandum

Cleveland Fire Authority

Year ending 31 March 2020

MAZARS

CONTENTS

1. Engagement and responsibilities summary
2. Your audit engagement team
3. Audit scope, approach and timeline
4. Significant risks and key judgement areas
5. Value for Money
6. Fees for audit and other services
7. Our commitment to independence
8. Materiality and misstatements

Appendix A – Key communication points

Appendix B - Forthcoming accounting and other issues

Appendix C – Mazars' client service commitment

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Cleveland Fire Authority. It has been prepared for the sole use of the Audit and Governance Committee as the appropriate sub-committee charged with governance . No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.

Mazars LLP

Salvus House

Aykley Heads

Durham DH1 5TS

Audit and Governance Committee

Cleveland Fire Authority

Endeavour House

Queens Meadow Business Park

Hartlepool TS25 5TH

5 February 2020

Dear Sirs / Madams

Audit Strategy Memorandum – Year ending 31 March 2020

We are pleased to present our Audit Strategy Memorandum for Cleveland Fire Authority for the year ending 31 March 2020.

The purpose of this document is to summarise our audit approach, highlight significant audit risks and areas of key judgements and provide you with the details of our audit team. As it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of its clients, Section 7 of this document also summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors.

We consider two-way communication with you to be key to a successful audit and important in:

- reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the responsibilities of each of us;
- sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- providing you with constructive observations arising from the audit process; and
- ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance and other risks facing Cleveland Fire Authority which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

This document, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, is the basis for discussion of our audit approach, and any questions or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor.

This document also contains specific appendices that outline our key communications with you during the course of the audit, and forthcoming accounting issues and other issues that may be of interest.

Client service is extremely important to us and we strive to continuously provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations so, if you have any concerns or comments about this document or audit approach, please contact me on 0191 383 6300.

Yours faithfully

Gavin Barker

Gavin Barker

Mazars LLP

1. ENGAGEMENT AND RESPONSIBILITIES SUMMARY

Overview of engagement

We are appointed to perform the external audit of Cleveland Fire Authority (the Authority) for the year to 31 March 2020. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies, issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) available from the PSAA website: https://www.psaa.co.uk/managing-audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities-of-auditors-and-audited-bodies/

Our responsibilities

Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO), as outlined below:

Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements.

Our audit is planned and performed so to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material error and give a true and fair view of the financial performance and position of the Authority and the group for the year.

Value for Money

We are required to conclude whether the Authority has proper arrangements in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in it its use of resources. We discuss our approach to Value for Money work further in section 5 of this report.

Reporting to the NAO

We report to the NAO on the consistency of the Authority's financial statements with its Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) submission. We do this by issuing an assurance certificate which confirms that the Authority is below the threshold set by the NAO.

Electors' rights

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of the Authority and consider any objection made to the accounts. We also have a broad range of reporting responsibilities and powers that are unique to the audit of local authorities in the United Kingdom.

Our audit does not relieve management or those charged with governance, of their responsibilities. The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both those charged with governance and management. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. However, our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud we are required to enquire of those charged with governance as to their knowledge of instances of fraud, the risk of fraud and their views on management controls that mitigate the fraud risks.

The Authority is required to prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting. As auditors, we are required to consider the appropriateness of the use of the going concern assumption in the preparation of the financial statements and the adequacy of disclosures made.

For the purpose of our audit, we have identified Cleveland Fire Authority as those charged with governance.

2. YOUR AUDIT ENGAGEMENT TEAM

- Gavin Barker, CIPFA
- Director and Engagement Lead
- Gavin.Barker@mazars.co.uk
- 0191 383 6300

- Ross Woodley, CIPFA
- Manager
- Ross.Woodley@mazars.co.uk
- 0191 383 6303

- Angela Davis, ACA
- Team Leader
- Angela.Davis@mazars.co.uk
- 0191 383 6300

3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE

Audit scope

Our audit approach is designed to provide an audit that complies with all professional requirements.

Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit approach and in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work is focused on those aspects of your business which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those affected by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations or areas which have been found to contain material errors in the past.

Audit approach

Our audit approach is a risk-based approach primarily driven by the risks we consider to result in a higher risk of material misstatement of the financial statements. Once we have completed our risk assessment, we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures in response to this assessment.

If we conclude that appropriately-designed controls are in place then we may plan to test and rely upon these controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise tests of details (of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures) and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, which take into account our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit will be planned and performed so as to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in more detail in section 8.

The diagram below outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of the audit.



3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE (CONTINUED)

Reliance on internal audit

Where possible we will seek to utilise the work performed by internal audit to modify the nature, extent and timing of our audit procedures. We will liaise with internal audit to consider the progress and findings of their work prior to the commencement of any controls testing.

Where we intend to rely on the work of internal audit, we will evaluate the work performed by your internal audit team and perform our own audit procedures to determine its adequacy for our audit.

Management's and our experts

Management makes use of experts in specific areas when preparing the Authority's financial statements. We also use experts to assist us to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on specific items of account.

Items of account	Management's expert	Our expert
Defined benefit liability	Actuary (Aon Hewitt)	NAO's Consulting Actuary (PWC)
Property, plant and equipment valuation	Valuer from Hartlepool Borough Council	
Financial instrument disclosures	Link Asset Services	

Service organisations

International Auditing Standards (UK) define service organisations as third party organisations that provide services to the Authority that are part of its information systems relevant to financial reporting. We are required to obtain an understanding of the services provided by service organisations as well as evaluating the design and implementation of controls over those services. The table below summarises the service organisations used by the Authority and our planned audit approach.

Items of account	Service organisation	Audit approach
Preparing the financial statements and maintaining all the material systems underpinning these statements: • general ledger; • payroll; • accounts payable; • accounts receivable; • fixed assets; and • treasury management.	Hartlepool Borough Council	We are also the auditor of the Council and have direct access to accounting records and staff. Accordingly, we can substantively test the financial statements in the same way as if they were prepared by the Authority. We will review and document the controls in place for production of the financial statements and also within the material financial information systems.

3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE (CONTINUED)

Group audit approach

Local government and fire bodies are required to consider interests in other entities and whether those interests might necessitate the production of group financial statements. The Authority has determined that group financial statements are required in respect of its wholly owned subsidiary Cleveland Fire Risk Management Services.

We have determined that the subsidiary is not a significant component. The forecast 2019/20 expenditure for the subsidiary is less than 15% of the forecast expenditure for the group and the subsidiary's forecast profit is below the materiality level we have set for the Authority. Accordingly, we will not be issuing group instructions and placing direct reliance on the subsidiary auditors.

Instead we have planned our 2019/20 audit to include:

- communicating with the auditor of the subsidiary company (Waltons Clark Whitehall) to obtain the necessary information, including taking into account any risks they have identified, the timing of their work and the materiality level they have set;
- using this information and discussions with the service organisation to assess group and consolidation controls;
- obtaining the subsidiaries audited accounts and using them to conduct analytical procedures at group level; and
- auditing the group accounts, ensuing appropriate consolidation adjustments and disclosures.

4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS

Following the risk assessment approach discussed in section 3 of this document, we have identified relevant risks to the audit of financial statements. The risks that we identify are categorised as significant, enhanced or standard, as defined below:

Significant risk A significant risk is an identified and assessed risk of material misstatement that, in the auditor's judgment, requires special audit consideration. For any significant risk, the auditor shall obtain an understanding of the entity's controls, including control activities relevant to that risk.

Enhanced risk An enhanced risk is an area of higher assessed risk of material misstatement at audit assertion level other than a significant risk. Enhanced risks incorporate but may not be limited to:

- key areas of management judgement, including accounting estimates which are material but are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and
- other audit assertion risks arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.

Standard risk This is related to relatively routine, non-complex transactions that tend to be subject to systematic processing and require little management judgement. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement, there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatements or the likelihood of the risk occurring.

The summary risk assessment, illustrated in the table below, highlights those risks which we deem to be significant. We have summarised our audit response to these risks on the next page.



Risk	
1	Management override of control
2	Property, plant and equipment valuation
3	Defined benefit liability valuation

4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS (CONTINUED)

We provide more detail on the identified risks and our testing approach with respect to significant risks in the table below. An audit is a dynamic process; should we change our view of risk or approach to address the identified risks during the course of our audit, we will report this to the Audit and Governance Committee.

Significant risks

	Description of risk	Planned response
1	**Management override of controls** Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.	We plan to address the management override of controls risk through performing audit work over accounting estimates, journal entries and significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual. We will use a computer audit analytical technique (CAAT) to efficiently identify journals with risk characteristics and test 100% of such adjustments to the financial ledger.
2	**Property, plant and equipment valuation** The financial statements contain material entries on the Balance Sheet as well as material disclosure notes in relation to the Authority's holding of PPE. Although the Authority uses a valuation expert to provide information on valuations, there remains a high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with the revaluation of PPE due to the significant judgements and number of variables involved in providing revaluations. We have therefore identified the valuation of PPE to be an area of significant risk.	We plan to address this risk by considering the Authority's arrangements for ensuring that PPE values are reasonable and will engage our own expert to provide data to enable us to assess the reasonableness of the valuations provided by the Hartlepool Borough Council valuer. We will also assess the competence, skills and experience of the valuer. We plan to discuss methods used with the valuer and examine test valuations. We will use indices provided by our own expert to confirm the assets not revalued are unlikely to have materially changed in value. We will test a sample of revaluations in year to valuation reports and supporting calculation sheets and ensure the calculations are correct and source data agrees with floor plans.
3	**Defined benefit liability valuation** The financial statements contain material pension entries in respect of retirement benefits. The calculation of these pension figures, both assets and liabilities, can be subject to significant volatility and includes estimates based upon a complex interaction of actuarial assumptions. Moreover, in 2019/20 the local government pension assets and liabilities are subject to triennial revaluation. This results in an increased risk of material misstatement.	We will discuss with key contacts any significant changes to the pension estimates. In addition to our standard programme of work in this area, we will evaluate the management controls you have in place to assess the reasonableness of the figures provided by the Actuary and consider the reasonableness of the Actuary's output, referring to an expert's report on all actuaries nationally. We will review the appropriateness of the key assumptions included within the valuations, compare them to expected ranges and review the methodology applied in the valuation. We will consider the adequacy of disclosures in the financial statements. We will also seek assurance from the audit of Teesside Pension Fund.

4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS (CONTINUED)

Consideration of other mandatory risks

Auditing standards require us to consider two standard risks for all organisations:

- Management override of controls; and
- Fraudulent revenue recognition.

We have already considered and identified management override of controls as a significant risk above, but set out our considerations in respect of fraudulent revenue recognition below:

	Description of risk	Planned response
1	**Fraudulent revenue recognition** Our audit methodology incorporates this risk as a significant risk at all audits, although based on the circumstances of each audit, it is rebuttable.	Although auditing standards presume a significant risk of fraud in respect of revenue recognition we do not consider this to be a significant risk for Cleveland Fire Authority. Excluding funding from central government, the Authority's share of local taxes collected by local councils and the revenue of the separately audited subsidiary, revenue is only just material rendering fraud in respect of revenue difficult to conceal. We therefore rebut this risk and do not incorporate specific risk procedures over and above our standard fraud procedures to address the management override of controls risk.

5. VALUE FOR MONEY

Our approach to Value for Money
We are required to form a conclusion as to whether the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out, and sets out the overall criterion and sub-criteria that we are required to consider.

The overall criterion is that, 'in all significant respects, the Authority had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.'

To assist auditors in reaching a conclusion on this overall criterion, the following sub-criteria are set out by the NAO:
- informed decision making;
- sustainable resource deployment; and
- working with partners and other third parties.

A summary of the work we undertake to reach our conclusion is provided below:



Significant Value for Money risks
The NAO's guidance requires us to carry out work at the planning stage to identify whether or not a Value for Money (VFM) significant risk exists. Risk, in the context of our VFM work, is the risk that we come to an incorrect conclusion rather than the risk of the arrangements in place at the Authority being inadequate. As outlined above, we draw on our deep understanding of the Authority and its partners, the local and national economy and wider knowledge of the public sector.

5. VALUE FOR MONEY (CONTINUED)

For the 201920 financial year, we have identified the following significant risk to our VFM conclusion:

Description of significant risk	Planned response
Sustainable Resource Deployment The Government is reviewing the approach it will take to funding fire and rescue from 2021/22, but has indicated that it will not release the results of the review until late 2020. There is also considerable uncertainty about pay and pension pressures. The Authority has prudently built up a budget support fund to bridge the time between the extent of the funding gap being known and the implementation date for additional savings schemes required. This is forecast to reach £4.6M by 31 March 2020. The Medium Term Financial Strategy shows the savings required from 2020/21 to 2022/23 after utilising these reserves for a range of possible income and cost scenarios. The £0.2M savings required for 2020/21 have already been secured through recent procurement exercises but the worst case planning scenario requires the Authority to find a further £2.7M savings to continue to balance the budget once the budget support fund is exhausted. Accordingly, there is a risk that the Authority will be unable to sustain delivery of strategic priorities in the Community Integrated Risk Management Plan (CIRMP), and maintain statutory functions beyond 31 March 2021 unless the arrangements to identify and implement additional savings are strong.	We will review the Authority's arrangements to ensure that it delivers its new CIRMP, focusing especially on progress identifying additional savings from 2021/22 onwards. We will also review the 2019/20 financial and performance outturn and the updated VFM Profile produced by the National Audit Office to identify any emerging risks to the VFM Conclusion. This review will consider the latest forecasts for the level of reserves available at 31 March 2021.

6. FEES FOR AUDIT AND OTHER SERVICES

Fees for work as the Authority's appointed auditor

At this stage of the audit we expect to need to reflect the scale fee set by PSAA as communicated in our fee letter of 17 April 2019 and other matters listed below

Service	2018/19 fee	2019/20 fee
Code audit work	23,193*	22,243
Group Accounts	2,926	2,926
Total audit fees	**26,119**	**25,169**
Additional work in response to regulatory recommendations to increase level of audit work on defined benefit liability schemes.		To be agreed
Additional work in response to regulatory recommendations to increase level of audit work on the valuation of property plant and equipment.		To be agreed

- includes an additional amount of £950 in relation to the work associated with the GMP/McCloud pension liability issue.

All stated fees are subject to VAT

In common with all local government external auditors we are required to carry out additional procedures which were not expected when fees were set.

Regulatory recommendations

We continually strive to maintain high standards of audit quality. One mechanism for doing this is to consider the outcome of independent quality reviews, in particular by the Financial Reporting Council, of our audit work and that of other audit suppliers. In particular we are planning increases in the level of work we do on:

- defined benefit pension schemes; and
- valuation of property, plant and equipment.

We will discuss the driving factors with the Authority's officers and the audit fee for 2019/20 will be revisited to reflect the increased level of work that was not considered when the scale fee was set. Any agreed additional fee is also subject to detailed scrutiny by the PSAA as part of the approval process.

Fees for other work

We have not been engaged by the Authority to carry out any additional work.

7. OUR COMMITMENT TO INDEPENDENCE

We are committed to independence and are required by the Financial Reporting Authority to confirm to you at least annually, in writing, that we comply with the Financial Reporting Authority's Ethical Standard. In addition, we communicate any matters or relationship which we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of the audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities creating any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.

We have policies and procedures in place which are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity and independence. These policies include:

- all partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration;
- all new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and also complete computer-based ethics training;
- rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team;
- use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this document, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, and Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence please discuss these with Gavin Barker in the first instance.

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services Gavin Barker will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our auditor independence. Included in this assessment is consideration of Auditor Guidance Note 01 as issued by the NAO, and the PSAA Terms of Appointment.

No threats to our independence have been identified.

Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Audit Completion Report.

8. MATERIALITY AND MISSTATEMENTS

Summary of initial materiality thresholds

Threshold	Initial threshold (£'000s) CFA	Initial threshold (£'000s) Group
Overall materiality	962	1,053
Performance materiality	770	843
Trivial threshold for errors to be reported to the Audit and Governance Committee	29	32

Materiality

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of financial statements as a whole. Misstatements in financial statements are considered to be material if they, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.

The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities and accounts;
- have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
- understand that financial statements are prepared, presented and audited to levels of materiality;
- recognise the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement and the consideration of future events; and
- will make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.

We consider materiality whilst planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

Whilst planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements, which we consider to be material and which provides a basis for determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures, identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement and determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

The materiality determined at the planning stage does not necessarily establish an amount below which uncorrected misstatements, either individually or in aggregate, will be considered as immaterial.

We revise materiality for the financial statements as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

8. MATERIALITY AND MISSTATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

Our provisional materiality is set based on a benchmark of 2% of gross revenue expenditure at the surplus / deficit level after excluding exceptional items and revaluation and impairment gains and losses. We identify an overall figure for materiality but identify separate levels for procedures designed to detect individual errors, and also a level above which all identified errors will be reported to the Audit and Governance Committee.

We consider that gross expenditure remains the key focus of users of the financial statements and, as such, we base our materiality levels around this benchmark.

We expect to set a materiality threshold 2% of gross revenue expenditure.

Based on last year's audited accounts we anticipate the overall materiality for the year ending 31st March 2020 to be in the region of £1m (£0.876m in the prior year at the planning stage).

After setting initial materiality, we continue to monitor materiality throughout the audit to ensure that it is set at an appropriate level.

Performance Materiality
Performance materiality is the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce, to an appropriately low level, the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Our initial assessment of performance materiality is based on low inherent risk, meaning that we have applied 80% of overall materiality as performance materiality.

We have also calculated materiality for specific classes of transactions, balances or disclosures where we determine that misstatements of a lesser amount than materiality for the financial statements as a whole, could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements. We have set specific materiality for the following items of account:

Item of account	Specific materiality
Senior Officer Remuneration	£43k
Members Expenses	£3k
Exit Packages	£1k

After setting initial materiality, we continue to monitor materiality throughout the audit to ensure that it is set at an appropriate level.

Misstatements
We aggregate misstatements identified during the audit that are other than clearly trivial. We set a level of triviality for individual errors identified (a reporting threshold) for reporting to the Audit and Governance Committee that is consistent with the level of triviality that we consider would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements. Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed triviality threshold is £29k based on 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this please do not hesitate to raise these with Gavin Barker.

Reporting to the Audit and Governance Committee
To comply with International Standards on Auditing (UK), the following three types of audit differences will be presented to the Audit and Governance Committee.

- summary of adjusted audit differences;
- summary of unadjusted audit differences; and
- summary of disclosure differences (adjusted and unadjusted).

APPENDIX A – KEY COMMUNICATION POINTS

ISA (UK) 260 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance', ISA (UK) 265 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Audit Strategy Memorandum	Audit Completion Report
Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements and our wider responsibilities	✓	
Planned scope and timing of the audit	✓	
Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement	✓	
Our commitment to independence	✓	✓
Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors	✓	
Materiality and misstatements	✓	✓
Fees for audit and other services	✓	
Significant deficiencies in internal control		✓
Significant findings from the audit		✓
Significant matters discussed with management		✓
Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement		✓
Summary of misstatements		✓
Management representation letter		✓
Our proposed draft audit report		✓

APPENDIX B – FORTHCOMING ACCOUNTING AND OTHER ISSUES

Financial reporting changes relevant to 2019/20

There are no significant changes in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting for the 2019/20 financial year.

Financial reporting changes in future years

Accounting standard	Year of application	Commentary
IFRS 16 – Leases	2020/21	The CIPFA/LASAAC Code Board has determined that the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting will adopt the principles of IFRS 16 Leases, for the first time from 2020/21. IFRS 16 will replace the existing leasing standard, IAS 17, and will introduce significant changes to the way bodies account for leases, which will have substantial implications for the majority of public sector bodies. The most significant changes will be in respect of lessee accounting (i.e. where a body leases property or equipment from another entity). The existing distinction between operating and finance leases will be removed and instead, the new standard will require a right of use asset and an associated lease liability to be recognised on the lessee's Balance Sheet. In order to meet the requirements of IFRS 16, all local authorities will need to undertake a significant project that is likely to be time-consuming and potentially complex. There will also be consequential impacts upon capital financing arrangements at many authorities which will need to be identified and addressed at an early stage of the project.

APPENDIX C – MAZARS' CLIENT SERVICE COMMITMENT

We are here because of our clients; serving them in the best way we can is part of our DNA. We operate a Code of Conduct which drives our client service commitment in all areas, as set out below.