

Audit Progress Report

Cleveland Fire Authority

June 2021



1. Audit progress
2. National publications

01

Section 01: **Audit progress**

Audit progress

Purpose of this report

This report provides the Audit and Governance Committee with an update on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors and also includes, at Section 2, for your information, a summary of recent national reports and publications.

2019/20 audit

Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Limited has recently confirmed its agreement of the fee variations related to the 2019/20 audit. We will arrange for billing in due course.

2020/21 audit

As members of the Audit and Governance Committee will recall, we presented our Audit Strategy Memorandum for 2020/21, dated 18 February 2021, to the last meeting on 26 February 2021.

At that time, the planned timeline for completion of the audit was in accordance with the revised timetable for completion announced by MHCLG of the end of September 2021. We did alert members to the pressures we were experiencing in terms of recruitment and retention, and the continuing impact of the pandemic, particularly in light of the 2020/21 timetable for audit completion moving to 30 September 2021, whereas the timetable for 2019/20 had been 30 November 2020.

We will now be unable to meet the end of September timetable due to a backlog of work, resource difficulties, the departure of staff and difficulties with recruitment and retention. We are unlikely to be able to start the audit until 13 September 2021, with completion by the end of November 2021 at the latest, subject to receipt of Pension Fund assurances from the auditor of Teesside Pension Fund, which members of the Audit and Governance Committee will recall led to a delay in the completion of the 2019/20 audit. We will try and do some preliminary work earlier than this in relation to sample selection, so that when we start the work in earnest, management will have had time to gather the supporting information we need. If additional resources become available we will start earlier, subject to agreement of suitable dates with management.

As you are aware, the difficulties we are experiencing reflect the significant challenges in the local audit sector and are being experienced nationally by all audit firms working within the local audit regime. These issues were set out in the independent review by Sir Tony Redmond into the effectiveness of external audit and transparency of financial reporting in local authorities which reported on 8 September 2020 and the National Audit Office (NAO) publication, Timeliness of Local Auditor Reporting on Local Government in England, 2020 published on 16 March 2021.

Audit progress

Redmond concluded that the “local audit market is very fragile” and highlighted that 40% of 2018/19 audits had failed to meet the reporting timetable of 31 July 2019.

The position worsened in 2019/20 in part due to the pandemic. The NAO report highlights that only 45% of 2019/20 audits met the 30 November 2020 deadline, which had been extended as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic. Some of the relevant underlying weaknesses were summarised in the NAO report as follows:

14 The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated problems which already existed within the local audit landscape. Our previous reports and consultation with the sector identified several long-standing problems within local audit. There is insufficient staff with the relevant qualifications, skills and experience in both local finance teams and firms serving the local audit sector, and a net loss of qualified staff from both. The requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards, along with the increased expectations from the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) following the high-profile corporate failures such as Carillion, have combined to produce a significant increase in audit work, such as on asset and pensions valuations, which local authorities found less useful. The relative lack of attractiveness of the audit of local public bodies, compared with alternative audit opportunities available to staff, has contributed to a high staff turnover level.

Mazars has avoided the worst of these difficulties in the last two audit years (2018/19 and 2019/20) but we are now experiencing similar problems to the other audit firms.

One of the Government’s responses to the Redmond Review has been to extend the audit timetable for 2020/21 audits to 30 September 2021 on the grounds that 31 July is unrealistic at the current time. However, this is two months earlier than last year’s timetable of 30 November and fails to account for the continuing impact of the pandemic. The working restrictions imposed on all of us by Covid-19 has meant that work is taking longer to complete and this has also led to a backlog of 2019/20 audit work.

Our priority is to deliver a high quality audit and where we are unable, for reasons beyond our control, to work to the shorter timetable our only option is to deliver the work at a later date. We will keep management and the Audit and Governance Committee informed as we work through these difficulties.

We recognise that the position is not satisfactory, but we are doing everything possible to address the situation. We are actively recruiting new staff but they are unlikely to resolve the issues this year, although the steps we are taking will help prevent a recurrence in future years.

02

Section 02:

National publications

National Publications

| | Publication/update | Key points |
|---|--|---|
| Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountability (CIPFA) | | |
| 1. | Consultation on stronger Prudential Code | CIPFA is consulting on the Prudential Code, including proposals to strengthen the requirements for commercial investments. |
| 2. | Fraud and Corruption Tracker | CIPFA's latest information has been published. |
| 3. | CIPFA Bulletin 06 – Application of the Good Governance Framework 2020/21 | Provides updated guidance and takes into account the introduction of the CIPFA Financial Management Code 2019 during 2020/21. |
| 4. | CIPFA Bulletin 09: Closure of the 2020-21 Financial Statements, 30 April 2021 | This bulletin provides guidance for local government bodies on a range of issues that may need to be considered as part of their 2020-21 accounts preparation. |
| Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) | | |
| 5. | MHCLG's Consultation on amendments to the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 | Consultation closed on the 1 st March 2021; the Accounts deadline was set as 31 July 2021 and Audit deadline of 30 September 2021. |
| 6. | MHCLG - Methodology for allocating £15 million to local bodies and review of Appointing Person regulations, 20 April 2021 | £15 million in additional funding in 2021/22 towards external audit fees. Consultation on amending the timescale for setting fee scales. |
| 7. | MHCLG - Local authority financial reporting and external audit: Spring update, 19 May 2021 | A new regulator, the Audit, Reporting and Governance Authority (ARGA), to replace the FRC, preferred system leader. Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) role to continue into next national procurement. |
| National Audit Office (NAO) | | |
| 8. | Local government finance in the pandemic, March 2021 | The report found that the Department's successful monthly collection of data and continued intensive engagement with the sector provided a good evidence base to underpin the financial and other support provided by government. |

National Publications

| | Publication/update | Key points |
|---|---|---|
| National Audit Office (NAO) | | |
| 9. | Timeliness of local auditor reporting in England, 2020 | The report is based on published data, the views of local authority finance directors, key stakeholders in the audit landscape, and audit firms. |
| 10. | Public service pensions | This report outlines how the public service pensions landscape has changed since the Hutton Review and highlights key challenges for the future. |
| 11. | NAO Updated Guidance for Auditors, April 2021 | Revised guidance for VFM arrangements work under the new Code of Audit Practice, including extended deadlines, and updated guidance on consideration of going concern in the public sector context. |
| 12. | NAO Report – <i>Initial learning from the government’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic, May 2021</i> | Latest NAO report on learning from the government response to the pandemic. |
| Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services (HMICFRS) | | |
| 13. | State of Fire and Rescue – The Annual Assessment of Fire and Rescue Services in England 2020, March 2021 | The annual assessment of England’s fire and rescue services by HMICFRS. |

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

CIPFA

1. CIPFA consults on a stronger Prudential Code, January 2021

CIPFA has launched a consultation on proposals to strengthen the Prudential Code, following growing concerns over local government commercial property investments. The Prudential Code is a professional code of practice that aims to ensure local authorities' financial plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable. To date, the provisions in the Code have not prevented a minority of councils from taking on disproportionate levels of commercial debt to generate yield. The proposed changes are intended to prevent future misinterpretations of the Code and strengthen the necessary regard to its provisions to protect local decision making and innovation. The consultation will be open for 10 weeks and responses must be submitted by 12 April 2021.

<https://www.cipfa.org/about-cipfa/press-office/latest-press-releases/cipfa-consults-on-stronger-local-government-prudential-code>

2. Fraud and corruption Tracker, February 2021

The latest CIPFA Fraud and Corruption Tracker (CFaCT), which includes local government data between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020, provides a baseline illustration about the prevalence of grant fraud in the public sector, just before unprecedented levels of COVID-19 grant funding for councils were released by the government in March of last year. The report follows previous warnings from the National Crime Agency and other law enforcement bodies of an increase in cases related to suspected COVID-19 grant fraud. Valued at an estimated loss of £36.6m, the report reveals only 161 instances of grant fraud occurred in 2019/20.

The report also shows that council tax continued to be the largest area of identified fraud for councils, with more than 30,600 cases totalling £35.9m in 2019/20. This year, 32% of respondents also stated their organisation had been a victim of a Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDOS)/hacking attack in the last 12 months, a 5% increase from the previous year. Survey respondents also expressed concern about councils' inability to tackle usual areas of fraud due to resource being re-directed into the processing and review of COVID-19 business grants.

<https://www.cipfa.org/about-cipfa/press-office/latest-press-releases/grant-fraud-represented-less-than-of-uk-public-sector-fraud-pre-pandemic>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

CIPFA

3. CIPFA Bulletin 06, Application of the Good Governance Framework 2020/21, February 2021

This bulletin covers the impact of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic on governance in local government bodies and the requirements of the Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework 2016 CIPFA and Solace (the Framework). It also takes into account the introduction of the CIPFA Financial Management Code 2019 (FM Code) during 2020/21.

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/cipfa-bulletins/cipfa-bulletin-06-application-of-the-good-governance-framework-202021>

4. CIPFA Bulletin 09: Closure of the 2020-21 Financial Statements, 30 April 2021

Auditors will wish to be aware that CIPFA have published Bulletin 09: Closure of the 2020-21 Financial Statements. This bulletin provides guidance for local government bodies on a range of issues that may need to be considered as part of their 2020-21 accounts preparation.

Hot topics include accounting for grant funding in the pandemic, accounting for dedicated schools grant deficits and accounting for collection fund surpluses and deficits in 2020/21.

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/cipfa-bulletins/cipfa-bulletin-09-closure-of-the-202021-financial-statements>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

MHCLG

5. MHCLG's Consultation on amendments to the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, February 2021

MCHLG has consulted on its proposed changes to the accounts publication deadline for 2020/21 and 2021/22.

The draft regulations includes provisions, at regulation 2 to change the publication deadline for principal authorities from 31 July to 30 September as proposed in recommendation 10 by the Redmond review, but for 2 years - 2020/21 and 2021/22. The intention is for the amended deadline to be reviewed after that period when it will be clearer as to whether the audit completion rate has improved.

The draft regulations also enable principal bodies to publish their draft accounts for inspection, linked to the later publication deadline, by removing the fixed period for public inspection, to say instead that the draft accounts must be published on or before the first working day of August. This will allow authorities and audit firms more flexibility to schedule their audits in line with the later publication deadline but, importantly, will not prevent them from being signed off earlier. This mirrors the approach taken in the Accounts and Audit (Amendment) (Coronavirus) Regulations 2020.

MCHLG's consultation closed on 1 March 2021.

6. MHCLG - Methodology for allocating £15 million to local bodies and review of Appointing Person regulations, 20 April 2021

The Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government (MHCLG) announced as part of its response to the Redmond Review, that it would provide £15 million in additional funding in 2021/22 towards external audit fees and the development of the proposed new standardised statement of service information and costs. The department has now launched a short, four-week consultation, seeking views on the on the methodology for allocating these funds to local bodies.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/consultation-on-allocation-of-15-million-to-local-bodies-for-audit/redmond-review-response-changes-to-the-audit-fees-methodology-for-allocating-15-million-to-local-bodies>

Running alongside this, the department has also launched a separate six-week consultation on the implementation of changes to the fee setting process for principal bodies set out in the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015. The consultation primarily seeks views on amending the timescale for setting fee scales, enabling the appointing person to consult on and approve a standardised additional fee, and for such payments to be made in year rather than at the completion of the audit.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/amendments-to-local-audit-fee-setting-arrangements>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

MHCLG

7. MHCLG - Local authority financial reporting and external audit: Spring update, 19 May 2021

In December 2020, MHCLG delivered its response to the Redmond Review. This report details the actions already taken to implement the Redmond Review recommendations, and also sets out the government's thinking on the recommendations relating to systems leadership.

In March 2021 the government published a White Paper setting out its plans to reform corporate audit, reporting and governance. The White Paper set out details of how the government proposes to establish a new regulator, the Audit, Reporting and Governance Authority (ARGA), to replace the FRC. It also set out government plans to create a new audit profession that is distinct from the accountancy profession, and to encourage competition in the market for audit of large listed companies. We have looked at options for local audit in the context of these wider reforms.

In this context, it is our view that ARGA, the new regulator being established to replace the FRC, would be best placed to take on the local audit system leader role.

The Department welcomes the changes made in the latest Code of Audit Practice in relation to VFM reporting. Until recently, the Code required auditors to give a binary opinion on whether the proper arrangements were in place. However, this was revised in the recent update to the Code, which now requires auditors to provide a narrative statement on the arrangements in place. The department welcomes this change, as it is our view that the binary value for money judgement required under the previous Code did not provide sufficient information for taxpayers or local bodies, particularly in a context where the complexity and commercialisation of local authority finances has increased. The new value for money requirements in the updated Code including a new commentary on governance, arrangements for achieving financial sustainability, and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness - should help to address this.

MHCLG has confirmed that PSAA is the organisation best placed to act as the appointing body, including overseeing the next procurement of audit contracts. There is a balance to be struck between cost and quality. Historically, there were concerns that fees were too high and it was right that real savings were delivered for the taxpayer following the abolition of the Audit Commission. However, the context has changed since 2014, including the structure of the market, plus new obligations and the complexity of the work. It is striking that local audit scale fees reduced by 40% between 2014/15 and 2018/19, while central government and FTSE100 fees have increased by 20%. We have been working closely with PSAA in recent months to develop our plans for allowing greater flexibility to reflect additional costs in audit fees, and are allocating £15m to local bodies to help with this and the additional requirements associated with implementing Redmond's recommendations.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/local-authority-financial-reporting-and-external-audit-spring-update/local-authority-financial-reporting-and-external-audit-spring-update>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

National Audit Office

8. Local government finance in the pandemic, March 2021

The NAO published its report *Local government finance in the pandemic* in March 2021. Local authorities in England have made a major contribution to the national response to the pandemic. This has in turn placed significant pressure on finances, which in many cases were already under strain. The report examines if MHCLG's approach to local government finance in the COVID-19 pandemic enabled the Department to assess and fund the costs of the new services which local authorities have been asked to deliver. It also examines whether the Department fulfilled its responsibilities in securing financial sustainability across the sector. The report focuses on:

- the financial health of the sector before the pandemic and the financial impact of the pandemic in 2020/21;
- action taken by the government to support the sector in 2020/21, including its effectiveness; and
- action taken by government to support the sector's financial sustainability in 2021/22.

The report found that the Department's successful monthly collection of data and continued intensive engagement with the sector provided a good evidence base to underpin the financial and other support provided by government. Action by the Department and wider government to support the sector averted system-wide financial failure at a very challenging time and means that the Department managed the most severe risks to value for money in the short term.

However, the financial position of local government remains a cause for concern. Many authorities will be relying on reserves to balance their 2020/21 year-end budgets. Despite continuing support into 2021/22, the outlook for next year is uncertain. Many authorities are setting budgets for 2021/22 in which they have limited confidence, and which are balanced through cuts to service budgets and the use of reserves.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/local-government-finance-in-the-pandemic/>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

National Audit Office

9. NAO Report – Timeliness of local auditor reporting in England, 2020

On 16 March, the NAO published its report Timeliness of local auditor reporting on local government in England, 2020. Since 2015, the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) has been responsible for setting the standards for local public audit in England, through maintaining a Code of Audit Practice and issuing associated guidance to local auditors. This report sets out the:

- roles and responsibilities of local auditors and national bodies to the local audit framework in England; and
- facts relating to the decline in the timeliness of delivering audit opinions on local government in England and the main factors contributing to that decline in timeliness.

The report is based on published data, the views of local authority finance directors, key stakeholders in the audit landscape, and audit firms. The report also considers the impact on central government. Given the increasing financial challenge and service pressures on local authorities since 2010, local councils need strong arrangements to manage finances and secure value for money.

The report concludes that the position for 2019/20, with 55% of local authorities failing to publish audited accounts by 30 November, is concerning, given the important part that external audit plays in assurance over taxpayers' money both centrally and locally.

Since the NAO reported on local authority governance and audit in 2019, and despite efforts by the various organisations involved in the local audit system and by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, the report concludes that the situation has worsened. The increase in late audit opinions, concerns about audit quality and doubts over audit firms' willingness to continue to audit local authorities all highlight that the situation needs urgent attention, which will require co-operation and collaboration by all bodies involved in the local audit system, together with clear leadership from government.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/timeliness-of-local-auditor-reporting-on-local-government-in-england-2020/?slide=1>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

National Audit Office

10. NAO Report – public service pensions, March 2021

As an employer, the government provides public service employees with access to occupational pension schemes. As at 31 March 2020, there were more than 8 million members of four of the largest public service pension schemes (the armed forces, civil service, NHS and teachers' pension schemes), of which 2.8 million were retired and receiving pension benefits and 5.2 million were either current or former employees. Around 25% of pensioners and 16% of the working-age population are members of a public service pension scheme.

In general, public service pensions have become more expensive over time as the number of people receiving them has increased, owing to more members entering retirement and living longer. This trend applies across public and private pensions and is consistent with international experience. In 2010 the government established the Independent Public Service Pensions Commission, chaired by Lord Hutton (the Hutton Review) to undertake a fundamental structural review of public service pensions. Following the Hutton Review final report in March 2011, and a period of negotiations with trade unions representing public service employees, the government introduced reforms intended to manage the future costs of providing pensions.

Public service pensions are a notable benefit to public servants. HM Treasury focuses on the affordability of these pensions and who pays for them. The total costs of providing pensions have been increasing over time, reflecting increasing numbers of pensioners. The government's pension reforms over recent years have contained the rise in future taxpayer costs by making pensions less generous and by increasing contributions from employees. However, taxpayer funding has increased and it will take decades for the full effects of the 2011/2015 reforms to be seen in the government's affordability measure. The balance of taxpayer funding has shifted from central payments by HM Treasury to employer contributions by departments and organisations to ensure that employers bear the consequences of their employment decisions.

However, HM Treasury needs to monitor more than just affordability. Government's approach to protecting those nearest retirement has been ruled unlawful and will cost time and money to resolve. The government's reforms also take no account of pensions as a recruitment and retention tool, with pensions continuing to be relatively inflexible; the only real choice for most employees is to stay in the scheme or opt out altogether.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/public-service-pensions/>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

National Audit Office

11. NAO Updated Guidance for Auditors, April 2021

In April 2021, the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) approved and published updated auditor guidance:

Auditor Guidance Note 03 (AGN 03) - Auditors' Work on Value for Money Arrangements. This has been updated to enable auditors to give their opinion on the financial statements if they have not yet completed all their VFM arrangements work (where there is no material impact on the opinion), including the approach to reporting any further issues if necessary by exception when auditors issue their certificate. The AGN also introduced revised deadlines for the Auditor's Annual Report, which includes the new commentary on VFM arrangements, of up to 3 months after issuing the audit opinion.

Auditor Guidance Note 07 (AGN 07) – Auditor Reporting. This was updated to bring it into line with AGN 03 as above.

Supplementary Guidance Note 01 (SGN 01) - Going Concern – Auditors' responsibilities for local public bodies. The SGN focus is primarily on *Practice Note (PN) 10: Audit of financial statements and regularity of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom, (Revised 2020)*, setting an expectation that auditors will follow the approach it sets out. This recognises that going concern in the public sector context includes the concept of the 'continued provision of services' and the legislative basis for public services, which means that the circumstances that will give rise to a material uncertainty in going concern are relatively limited and rare, and would normally require legislative changes. This view is also reflected in the CIPFA Code, which recognises that the financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis.

The NAO guidance does, however, highlight the wider issue of financial sustainability and funding for public services. Management will still need to undertake a going concern assessment, and disclose an appropriate narrative within its financial statements in relation to the impact of the pandemic and pressures on funding, and disclose any potential material uncertainties should they exist.

All of the NAO auditor guidance is publicly available at this link: <https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/guidance-and-information-for-auditors/>

NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

National Audit Office

12. NAO Report – *Initial learning from the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, May 2021*

The NAO has recently published its *Initial learning from the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic* report, which is part of a programme of work the NAO is undertaking to support Parliament in its scrutiny of government's response to COVID-19. The report finds that the COVID-19 pandemic has stress-tested the government's ability to deal with unforeseen events and potential shocks. Government has often acted at unprecedented speed to respond to a virus which has caused dramatic disruption to people's lives, public service provision and society as a whole. Government had to continue to deliver essential public services, while reprioritising resources to deliver its response to the COVID-19 pandemic and supporting staff to work from home. In its response, government has had to streamline decision-making, work across departments and public bodies and use a range of delivery structures.

Departments will need to reflect on the lessons learned to ensure that they capitalise on the benefits and opportunities these new ways of working have brought.

This report draws out learning from the reports that we have published to date, as well as other work we have published that covered the COVID-19 pandemic. It sets out this learning across six themes:

- risk management;
- transparency and public trust;
- data and evidence;
- coordination and delivery models;
- supporting and protecting people; and
- financial and workforce pressures.

The NAO will continue to draw out learning from the government's response to the pandemic from our future work.

The full report is available from the NAO website. <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Initial-learning-from-the-governments-response-to-the-COVID-19-pandemic.pdf>

13. State of Fire and Rescue – The Annual Assessment of Fire and Rescue Services in England 2020, March 2021

In his annual assessment of England's fire and rescue services, Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Fire and Rescue Services, Sir Thomas Winsor, found that:

- fire services rose to the challenge of the pandemic, with many fire and rescue staff taking on additional activities;
- changes to improve fire and building safety in the wake of the Grenfell Tower fire are necessary and welcome; and
- progress has been made on introducing a code of ethics to address toxic working cultures found in a few fire services.

However, the Chief Inspector said fire leaders were not always able to quickly deploy firefighters to support the pandemic response – for example the COVID-19 national vaccination programme – because fire National Employers and the Fire Brigades Union failed to reach a national agreement.

<https://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/hmicfrs/publications/state-of-fire-and-rescue-annual-assessment-2020/>

Contact

Mazars

Director: Gavin Barker

Email: gavin.barker@mazars.co.uk

Manager: Ross Woodley

Email: ross.woodley@mazars.co.uk

Mazars is an internationally integrated partnership, specialising in audit, accountancy, advisory, tax and legal services*. Operating in over 90 countries and territories around the world, we draw on the expertise of 40,400 professionals – 24,400 in Mazars' integrated partnership and 16,000 via the Mazars North America Alliance – to assist clients of all sizes at every stage in their development.

*where permitted under applicable country laws.

www.mazars.com

Follow us:

LinkedIn:

www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars

Twitter:

www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup

Facebook:

www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup

Instagram:

www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup

WeChat:

ID: Mazars